



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/979,438 11/26/97 RUPEL

J 970552

PM82/0502

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL  
ONE OXFORD CENTRE  
301 GRANT STREET 20TH FLOOR  
PITTSBURGH PA 15219-1410

EXAMINER

PUROL, D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3634

DATE MAILED:

05/02/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
**08/979,438**

Applicant(s)  
**John D. Rupel et al.**

Examiner  
**David M. Purol**

Group Art Unit  
**3634**



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 10, 2000

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

## Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) 1-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 20 and 25-44 is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 21-24, 45, and 47-57 is/are rejected.

☒ Claim(s) 46 is/are objected to.

☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been  
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3634

1. Applicant's election of Group II in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Accordingly, claims 1-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

2. On page 9, line 14 recites "vertical threads 64", wherein, the reference numeral 64 has been used previously to denote the tabs. Only one particular reference numeral is to be used to designate a specific element. Correction is required.

3. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21, line 2 recites "the front edge" (both occurrences) which appears to be inaccurate inasmuch as reference should be made to the --the rear edge--.

Art Unit: 3634

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 45,47-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Colson et al '553.

5. Claims 52-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Judkins '882.


6. Claims 20,25-44 are allowed.

7. Claims 21-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

8. Claim 46 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3634

9. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Ford et al, Barss, Carter et al, Judkins et al, Jelic.

  
**David M. Puro**  
**Primary Examiner**  
**Art Unit 3634**